arXiv:1411.4803v1 [math.GT] 18 Nov 2014

NON-ORIENTABLE GENUS OF KNOTS IN PUNCTURED SPIN 4-MANIFOLDS

KOUKI SATO

Abstract. For a closed 4-manifold X and a knot K in the boundary of punctured X, we define $\gamma_X^0(K)$ to be the smallest first Betti number of non-orientable and null-homologous surfaces in punctured X with boundary K. Note that $\gamma_{S^4}^0$ is equal to the non-orientable 4-ball genus and hence γ_X^0 is a generalization of the non-orientable 4-ball genus. While it is very likely that for given X, γ_X^0 has no upper bound, it is difficult to show it. In fact, even in the case of $\gamma_{S^4}^0$, its non-boundedness was shown for the first time by Batson in 2012. In this paper, we prove that for any Spin 4-manifold X, γ_X^0 has no upper bound.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we work in the smooth category, all 4-manifolds are orientable, oriented and simply-connected, and all surfaces are compact. If X is a closed 4-manifold, punc$X$ denotes X with an open 4-ball deleted.

In [7], we defined the non-orientable genera of knots in punc$X$ as follows.

Definition. Let X be a closed 4-manifold and $K \subset \partial(\text{punc}X)$ ($\cong S^3$) a knot. *The non-orientable X-genus $\gamma_X(K)$ of K* is the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface $F \subset \text{punc}X$ with boundary K. Moreover, we define $\gamma_X^0(K)$ to be the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface $F \subset \text{punc}X$ with boundary K which represents zero in $H_2(\text{punc}X, \partial(\text{punc}X); \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

We note that both $\gamma_X(K)$ and $\gamma_X^0(K)$ are generalizations of the non-orientable 4-ball genus $\gamma_4(K)$, which is the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface in B^4 with boundary K. In this paper, we investigate the following problem.

Problem 1. *For a given 4-manifold X, do γ_X and γ_X^0 have upper bounds?*

While γ_4 has been investigated since 1975 [9], it is difficult to evaluate γ_4 and Problem 1 had remained open even in the case of γ_4 until recently. The best reference for related studies is [2]. In 2012, Batson [1] gave a negative answer of Problem 1 in the case of γ_4 by using Heegaard Floer homology theory. (In fact, Batson proved that for any positive integer k, there exists a knot K which satisfies $\gamma_4(K) = k$.) In 2014, Tange and the author [7] applied Batson's idea to the case of $\gamma_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}^0$ and proved that $\gamma_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}^0$ also has no upper bound. On the other hand, Suzuki [8] and Norman [6] proved that if X is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$, then any knot bounds a disk in punc$X$. This result implies that for many 4-manifolds such as $(S^2 \times S^2) \# Y$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \# Y$, γ_X is bounded above by 1, where Y denotes any 4-manifold. Then, it seems natural to consider for a manifold X with γ_X bounded, whether or not γ_X^0 also has upper bound. In this paper, we prove the following theorem that gives a negative answer of this question.

Theorem 1.1. *If X is a Spin 4-manifold, then γ_X^0 has no upper bound.*

By this theorem, it follows that for any Spin 4-manifold X, $\gamma_{(S^2\times S^2)\#X}$ is bounded above by 1 and $\gamma^0_{(S^2\times S^2)\#X}$ has no upper bound. Moreover, this theorem gives an alternate proof of non-boundedness of γ_4 which is obtained from Furuta's 10/8-theorem [3] instead of Heegaard Floer homology theory.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Kokoro Tanaka and Akira Yasuhara for their useful comments and encouragement.

2. Construction of a lower bound for γ_X^0

Let Y be a closed 4-manifold. For any knot $K \subset \partial(\mathrm{punc}Y)$, we define $\mathrm{Char}(Y,K)$ a set of characteristic homology classes in $H_2(\mathrm{punc}Y, \partial(\mathrm{punc}Y);\mathbb{Z})$ which are represented by disks in $\mathrm{punc}Y$ with boundary K. In this section, we construct a new lower bound of γ_X^0 for any Spin 4-manifold X which consists of the knot signature, an element of $\mathrm{Char}(Y,K)$ and invariants for X.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a closed Spin 4-manifold and K a knot in $\partial(puncX)$. Then for any closed 4-manifold Y and any element η of $Char(Y,K)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 3\gamma_X^0(K) \quad \geq \quad & \left|\sigma(K) - \frac{\eta\cdot\eta}{2} + \frac{\sigma(X)+\sigma(Y)}{2}\right| \\ & -4\max(\beta_2^+(X)+\beta_2^-(Y), \beta_2^-(X)+\beta_2^+(Y)) - \beta_2(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(K)$ and $\sigma(X)$ is the signature of K and X respectively, $\eta\cdot\eta$ is the self-intersection number of η, and β_2^+ (resp. β_2^-) is the rank of positive (resp. negative) part of the intersection form of X.

In order to prove Proposition 2.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a closed 4-manifold, K a knot in the boundary of $puncX$, and N a non-orientable surface in $puncX$ with $\partial N = K$ which represents a characteristic homology class in $H_2(puncX, \partial(puncX);\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then for any closed 4-manifold Y and any element η of $Char(Y,K)$, we have*

$$\beta_1(N) \geq \frac{|e(N) - \eta\cdot\eta - \sigma(X) + \sigma(Y)|}{4} - 2\max(\beta_2^+(X)+\beta_2^-(Y), \beta_2^-(X)+\beta_2^+(Y)).$$

Proof. We first prove the lemma in the case when $\beta_1(N)$ is odd. In this case, by applying the arguments of [10, Connecting Lemma II] and [1, Proposition 3], we have a new orientable surface F in $\mathrm{punc}(X\#(S^2\times S^2))$ with boundary K which satisfies the following:

(1) $\beta_1(F) = \beta_1(N) - 1$,
(2) $e(F) = e(N) + 2\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and
(3) F represents a characteristic homology class .

On the other hand, by the definition of $\mathrm{Char}(Y,K)$, there exists a disk D in $\mathrm{punc}Y$ with boundary K which represents η. Hence by gluing $(\mathrm{punc}(X\#(S^2\times S^2)), F)$ and $(-\mathrm{punc}Y, -D)$ along (S^3, K), we obtain the pair $(M,\Sigma) = (X\#(S^2\times S^2)\#(-Y), F\cup(-D))$ of a closed 4-manifold and an embedded, closed, orientable surface. Furthermore, a new orientable surface Σ represents a characteristic homology class $[F,\partial F]\oplus[-D,-\partial D]$ in $H_2(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Claim 1. *The pair (M, Σ) satisfies the following inequality*

$$g(\Sigma) \geq \frac{|[\Sigma]\cdot[\Sigma] - \sigma(M)|}{8} - \max(\beta_2^+(M), \beta_2^-(M)) + 1.$$

Proof of Claim 1. Lawson suggested that by combining [10, Theorem 1.2] and Furuta's theorem [3, Theorem 1], we have

$$g(\Sigma) \geq \frac{|[\Sigma]\cdot[\Sigma] - \sigma(M)|}{8} - \max(\beta_2^+(M), \beta_2^-(M)) + 2$$

if $[\Sigma]\cdot[\Sigma] \equiv \sigma(M) \pmod{16}$ [4, Theorem 36]. If $[\Sigma]\cdot[\Sigma] \equiv \sigma(M) + 8 \pmod{16}$, for any $\varepsilon' = \pm 1$ by taking a connected sum with a torus $T_{\varepsilon'}$ in $S^2 \times S^2$ with $[T_{\varepsilon'}]\cdot[T_{\varepsilon'}] = 8\varepsilon'$ and applying the inequality above, we have

$$g(\Sigma) \geq \frac{|[\Sigma]\cdot[\Sigma] - \sigma(M)|}{8} - \max(\beta_2^+(M), \beta_2^-(M)) + 1.$$

□

By Claim 1, we have the following inequality

$$g(\Sigma) \geq \frac{|[F,\partial F]\cdot[F,\partial F] - \eta\cdot\eta - \sigma(M)|}{8} - \max(\beta_2^+(M), \beta_2^-(M)) + 1.$$

Since $2g(\Sigma) = \beta_1(N) - 1$, $\sigma(M) = \sigma(X) - \sigma(Y)$, $[F,\partial F]\cdot[F,\partial F] = e(N) + 2\varepsilon$ and $\beta_2^\pm(M) = \beta_2^\pm(X) + \beta_2^\mp(Y) + 1$, this inequality implies

$$\beta_1(N) \geq \frac{|e(N) - \eta\cdot\eta - \sigma(X) + \sigma(Y)|}{4} - 2\max(\beta_2^+(X)+\beta_2^-(Y), \beta_2^-(X)+\beta_2^+(Y)) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

In the case when $\beta_1(N)$ is even, taking the connected sum of $N \subset X$ with the standard embedding of $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset S^4$ or its mirror image, we have a non-orientable surface N' in X with boundary K such that $\beta_1(N') = \beta_1(N) + 1$ and $e(N') = e(N) + 2\varepsilon''$ for any $\varepsilon'' = \pm 1$. Since $\beta_1(N')$ is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(N) + 1 \quad \geq \quad & \frac{|e(N) - \eta\cdot\eta - \sigma(X) + \sigma(Y)|}{4} \\ & -2\max(\beta_2^+(X) + \beta_2^-(Y), \beta_2^-(X) + \beta_2^+(Y)) + 1\end{aligned}$$

for a favorable value of ε''. This completes the proof. □

In order to prove the proposition, we use the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Yasuhara, [10])**.** *Let X be a closed 4-manifold and $K \subset \partial(puncX)$ a knot. If K bounds a non-orientable surface N in puncX that represents zero in $H_2(puncX, \partial(p$-
$uncX); \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then*

$$\left|\sigma(K) + \sigma(X) - \frac{e(N)}{2}\right| \leq \beta_2(X) + \beta_1(N).$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Since we assume that X is a Spin 4-manifold, a non-orientable surface N in punc$X$ represents a characteristic homology class in $H_2(\text{punc}\,X, \partial(\text{punc}X); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ if and only if N represents zero-element. Hence if N satisfies the assumption of $\gamma_X^0(K)$, then the pair (X, N) satisfies both Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. By combining these two inequality and deleting $e(N)$, we can obtain the inequality of Proposition 2.1. □



FIGURE 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We can calculate characteristic homology classes for certain knots by Kirby calculus. In this section, we give an element of $\mathrm{Char}(\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, T(2k+1,2k))$ $(k > 0)$ where $T(2k+1,2k)$ denotes a $(2k+1,2k)$-torus knot, and prove Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 implies that for any Spin 4-manifold X, the sequence $\{\gamma_X^0(T(2k+1,2k))\}$ always diverges. Let $\overline{\gamma}$ be a standard generator of $H_2(\mathrm{punc}\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, \partial(\mathrm{punc}\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}); \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\overline{\gamma}\cdot\overline{\gamma} = -1$.

Proposition 3.1. *$(2k+1)\overline{\gamma}$ is an element of $\mathrm{Char}(\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}, T(2k+1,2k))$.*

Proof. The motion picture in Figure 1 gives a disk D in $\mathrm{punc}\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ with boundary $T(2k+1,2k)$ which represents $(2k+1)\overline{\gamma}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since signatures of torus knots satisfy a recursion relation in [5, Chapter 7], it is easy to check that $\sigma(T(2k+1,2k)) = -2k^2$. By applying Proposition 2.1 to the pair $(X, T(2k+1,2k), (2k+1)\overline{\gamma})$, we have the following inequality

$$3\gamma_X^0(T(2k+1,2k)) \geq \left|2k + \frac{\sigma(X)}{2}\right| - 4\max(\beta_2^+(X)+1, \beta_2^-(X)) - \beta_2(X),$$

and the right side of the above inequality infinitely diverges when k is limitlessly increased. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] J. Batson. *Nonorientable four-ball genus can be arbitrarily large*, arXiv:1204.1985(2012).
[2] P.M. Gilmer and C. Livingston. *The nonorientable 4-genus of knots*, Journal of the London Mathematical **84**(2011), 559-577.
[3] M. Furuta. *Monopole equation and the 11/8 -conjecture*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), 279291.
[4] T. Lawson. *The minimal genus problem*, Expo. Math. **15** (1997) 385431.
[5] K. Murasugi and B. Kurpita. *Knot theory and its applications*, Birkhauser, January 2008.
[6] R.A. Norman, *Dehn's lemma for certain 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math. **7** (1969), 143–147.
[7] K. Sato and M. Tange, Non-orientable genus of a knot in punctured $\mathbb{C}P^2$, preprint, arXiv:1403.1187(2014).
[8] S. Suzuki. *Local knots of 2-sphere in 4-manifolds*, Proc. Japan Acad. **45** (1969), 34-38.
[9] O.Viro. *Positioning in codimension 2, and the boundary*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **30** (1975), 231-232.
[10] A. Yasuhara. *Connecting lemmas and representing homology classes of simply connected 4-manifolds*, Tokyo Journal of Mathematics **19** (1996), 245-261.